Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/816,171	HESSE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Katarzyna Wyrozebski</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Charles Wendell</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 October 2006</u>	Time: <u>1000</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 31-127		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.	·	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Examiner requested restriction of claims according to the g restriction to be mailed and did not elect during telephonic	roups specified in office action. Ap	
Part III.	•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a variate record of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
KIR		
miner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		